



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,563	03/25/2004	Donald J. Yantzi	CA920030040US1	7948
45502 7590 08/15/2008 DILLON & YUDELL LLP 8911 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY., SUITE 2110 AUSTIN, TX 78759				
EXAMINER				
POLTORAK, PIOTR				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2134				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/15/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/809,563

**Applicant(s)**

YANTZI, DONALD J.

**Examiner**

PETER POLTORAK

**Art Unit**

2134

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 26-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 26-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant amendment received on 6/11/08 has been entered.

### *Response to Arguments/Amendments*

2. In light of applicant amendments the 35 USC § 112 rejections, cited in the previous Office Action, are withdrawn.
3. ***On page 5, applicant argues that Nielsen's Fig. 1b and col. 1 lines 32-43 do not teach the claimed multiple front-end processes within a workstation.***  
The examiner points out, that the specification does not offer a concrete definition of a "front-end" process. In order to operate, workstation must run a plurality of processes within the workstation, and processes running on a workstation communicating with a network comprising threads associated with security , such as disclosed by Nielsen (Fig. 1b and col.1 lines 32-43, for example), would meet the broadest reasonable interpretation of "front-end processes".
4. ***On page 6, applicant argues that Nielsen discloses URL of a website rather than encrypted password search. Specifically, applicant argues that in response to a request, the database of Nielsen "Fig. 2 is scanned for an entry having the URL of the website sending the authentication request (col. 4, line 60-63)" rather than teaching "if an encrypted password associated with said requested software resources is stored in said password registry".***

For the clarification purposes, the examiner points out that the exact claim language recites "determining if an encrypted password associated with said requested software resource is stored in said password registry".

The examiner directs applicant to Nielsen disclosure in col. 4 lines 62-64:

"If entry is found, the password management system decrypts the password and user ID information using the master password as a key 314..."

and alternatively (col. 4 line 64-col. 5 line 3):

"If at step 312, no URL corresponding to the remote server requesting authentication is found, the password management system carries out a series of steps related to registration that are discussed in reference to Fig. 4..."

Note that the step 312 is clearly indicated in Fig. 3 as "Password in Database" with "Yes" associated with the step 314 and the alternative step follows "No" decision.

5. ***Lastly, applicant argues (last paragraph of pg. 6) that "none of the steps in Fig. 4 of Nielsen is related to 'notifying said front-end process to prompt for a password from a user'".***

The examiner points to col. 4 lines 29-38:

"The user begins a web browsing session by starting the web browser at step 302.

Preferably, the password management system of the present invention then prompts the user at step 304 for a master password which is stored in system memory for later use in encryption and decryption of the password information stored in the database of FIG. 2."

and col. 4 line 60-66

"If at step 312, no URL corresponding to the remote server requesting authentication is found, the password management system carries out a series of steps related to registration that are discussed in reference to FIG. 4."

followed by the disclosure in col. 5 lines 11-19:

"FIG. 4 is a flowchart depicting how a user may register to a remote site in accordance with the present invention. If at step 312, no entry has been discovered corresponding to the URL of the remote web site, the password management system proceeds to step 402 where it checks a list of sensitive URLs for which the user has requested that the password management system remains inactive. If the URL of the web site requesting authentication is on this list, the normal authentication form is displayed for entry of the user ID and password particular to that site at step 404."

6. Claims 1-5 and 26-27 have been examined.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-5 and 26-27 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as being anticipated by Nielsen (USPN 6182229).

As per claims 1 and 26, Nielsen teaches providing a password registry for storing passwords within a workstation (database of encrypted passwords, Abstract); allowing each of a plurality of software resources to register its password (Fig. 1B and col. 1 lines 32-43) in said password registry via a respective one of a plurality of

front-end processes within said workstation, wherein each said password is encrypted by said respective front-end process before being stored in said password registry (col. 1 line 61- column 2 line 6); in response to an access request to one of said software resources via a corresponding one of said front-end processes, determining an encrypted password associated with said requested resource is stored in said password registry and in a determination that said encrypted password associated within said software tool is stored in said password registry, sending said encrypted password from said password registry to said corresponding front-end process for decryption in order to permit said access request (col. 4 lines 46-64), and in a determination that said encrypted password associated within said resource is not stored in said password registry, notifying said front-end process to prompt for a password from a user (col. 4 lines 64-66).

8. As per claims 2-3, Nielsen discloses associating each of the encrypted passwords with at least one of a user ID, a resource hostname, and a resource type (e.g. Fig. 2 and associate text).
9. As per claims 4-5, Nielsen discloses retrieving a corresponding one of said encrypted passwords using a query key uniquely identifying said each system software resource and its associated encrypted password for said each system resource, wherein the query key is at least one of user ID, said resource hostname, and said resource type (col. 4 lines 60-64).
10. Claims 26-30 are substantially similar to claims 1-5; thus, claims 26-30 are similarly rejected.

***Conclusion***

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Poltorak whose telephone number is (571) 272-3840. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. and alternate Fridays from 9:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2134

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Peter Poltorak/

Examiner, Art Unit 2134

/ELLEN TRAN/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2134